



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 10/054,038 | 11/12/2001 | Sunao Takatori | 35576/240964 | 1387 |
| 826 | 7590 | 04/06/2007 | EXAMINER | |
| ALSTON & BIRD LLP | | | HALIYUR, VENKATESH N | |
| BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000 | | | 2616 | |
| CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000 | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 04/06/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/054,038 | TAKATORI ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Venkatesh Haliyur | 2616 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Venkatesh Haliyur (Patent Examiner, USPTO). (3) _____

(2) Timothy J Balts (Attorney Reg No: 51,429). (4) _____

Date of Interview: 04 April 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1-10.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Venkatesh Haliyur 4/4/07
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: First office action was mailed on 10/04/2006 for this application rejecting only claims 1-6 under 35 USC 102(e) and omitting claims 7-10, which had been filed under preliminary amendment on 11/12/2001. Applicant's representative informed the examiner on 04/04/2007 of the omission of claims 7-10 in the office action of 10/04/2006 and requested a new office action for claims 1-10. Therefore it was agreed upon by the participants to withdraw the rejection of claims 1-6 communicated via office action of 10/04/2006 and to issue a new office action for this application addressing the claims 1-10 as in the preliminary amendment of 11/12/2001.